UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/537,719 | 10/11/2006 | Christopher John Burns | 529282001600 | 5125 |
| | 7590 03/28/201 FOERSTER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 12531 HIGH BI SUITE 100 | LUFF DRIVE | MORRIS, PATRICIA L | | |
| SAN DIEGO, C | CA 92130-2040 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1625 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/28/2011 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

EOfficeSD@mofo.com PatentDocket@mofo.com Drcaldwell@mofo.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/537,719 | BURNS ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | PATRICIA MORRIS | 1625 | |
|---|---|---|------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | ss |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 March 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid aband t, or other evidence, whi with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 | ch places the 3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f). | g date of the final rejection. FFIRST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office a | extension fee action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the a | |
| | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w); | ΓE below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying the | issues for |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∈ | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | serreeperialing married or imany repo | otou olamo. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (PT | OL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | , |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | I be entered and an exp | lanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>4,7 and 8</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu | t before or on the date of filing a Ne | ation of Appeal will not b | o ontorod |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | t or other evidence is ne | ecessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails t ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | o provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowance | because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Patricia L. Morris/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 1625 | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment is non-response to the final rejection because it fails to CANCEL the non-elected compounds. Note page 2 of the previous Office action. Applicants allege that they fail to understand the restriction requirement. Applicants ELECTED Group I drawn to the instances wherein B and Y are aryl and NO ADDITONAL HETEROCYCLE IS PRESENT (anywhere in the compound).. Further, applicants allege that no heteroaryl compounds are formed. The claims clearly recite, for example, wherein R2 and R3 may be joined together with the N formO,S, NR5" produce additional heterocycles, i.e, morpholines, piperazines, etc., Also, R6 and R7 and R9 and R10 together with the N form numerous heterocycles, i.e., piperdines. The specification is NON-ENABLING for the many heterocycles claimed. Cancellation of the newly added proviso clause reintroduces the previous 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 rejections.